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1. Agenda Item #1, CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL
Ms. Kristy Underwood, the Executive Officer, called the meeting to order at approximately 10:00 a.m., welcomed everyone to the California State Board of Barbering and Cosmetology (Board) Health and Safety Advisory Committee, and asked everyone to introduce themselves.

2. Agenda Item #2, EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S OPENING REMARKS / GOALS OF THE COMMITTEE
Ms. Underwood reviewed the purpose, focus, goals, objectives, and resources for the Committee. She stated staff is in the process of translating all Board licensing application forms. She summarized the changes mandated by legislation since the last meeting, such as, a signed acknowledgment is now required on the licensing applications and renewal forms, showing that the applicant understands basic labor laws as provided in the Board’s publication, *Know Your Workers’ Rights*. 
3. Agenda Item #3, DISCUSSION AND IDENTIFICATION OF WORKER RIGHTS CONCERNS WITHIN THE INDUSTRY

Ms. Underwood noted that in view of the publicized wage theft issues exposed in New York, the California Legislature have focused attention on making sure that Board licensees are in compliance with labor laws. This is in part why this committee was formed, to discuss trends related to health and safety and workers’ rights concerns within the industry. Ms. Underwood summarized the Board’s efforts regarding the translation of all Board documents and the development of the *Know Your Workers’ Rights* publication. Ms. Underwood referred to the *Know Your Worker’s Rights* document in the meeting packet and pointed out a minor change that will be made: that overtime is over eight hours. This document has been approved by this Committee, the Board, and Legal Counsel and will be posted on the website on July 1, 2017. She invited the members to share their workers’ rights concerns.

4. Agenda Item #4, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD STEPS TO IMPROVE LICENSEES’ AWARENESS OF LABOR LAWS. REVIEW OF BOARD PUBLICATION “KNOW YOUR WORKER’S RIGHTS”

Mr. Fred Jones, a Committee Member, suggested using a voice other than the second person pronoun to keep the document objective. There are times when the document only addresses employees. He suggested broadening the title to “Understand Rights and Obligations of California Labor Law.” Ms. Underwood stated Mr. Jones’s suggestions will be considered for future edits.

Mr. Richard Hedges, a Board Member, stated the document does not discuss State disability insurance. He suggested including information in the workers’ compensation portion and adding information on state disability insurance and workers’ compensation to the Board’s website.

Mr. Jones suggested adding city or municipal permits to future edits.

Ms. Underwood stated the need to focus on what the law requires the Board to do with this document, which is to make licensees aware of basic labor laws. She asked for input on how to better inform the public about their workers’ rights.

**Public Comment**

Wendy Jacobs, Founder, California Esthetician Alliance, stated many estheticians are independent contractors or booth renters. She stated having both an establishment and/or a booth renter license for estheticians may clear up many labor concerns.

DeeDee Crossett, a Committee Member, was concerned that the information in the Board’s Health and Safety Training Course would be included in the National-Interstate Council of State Boards of Cosmetology (NIC) exam before schools could incorporate it into their lesson plans. Ms. Underwood stated the information in the Health and Safety Course is required by the Board but will not be part of the NIC exam.

Ms. Crossett suggested the Board do outreach to the major industry shows to help promote the *Health and Safety Training Course*, specifically the section on Workers’ Rights.
Julia Liou, a Committee Member, recommended the Board include this information on the NIC exam so that there will be more incentive for students to make an effort to understand it.

Ms. Crossett suggested the Board look into expanding the options for licensees to provide their email address. The Board may consider emailing a PDF of the workers’ rights information, at the time license renewal, with a link to the updated health and safety requirements.

Ms. Liou pointed out an inaccuracy in the *Know Your Workers’ Rights* publication on page 4, in the minimum wage requirements section. She stated minimum wage is $10.50 per hour for shops that have 26 employees or more.

Mr. Jones suggested also including the schedule of increases of minimum wage until 2023, on page 4, so the document will not be outdated on January 1st.

Ms. Underwood stated the Board’s plan to make licensees aware of this information is to provide them with a link to information, update the website to include a special button for workers’ rights, include information on the initial and renewal applications, and possibly email information in a PDF form upon license renewal. She stated staff has been promoting an interested parties email list at industry shows and inspections. In less than one year, the email list has grown from 200 to over 3,000 names.

Lisa Thong, a Board Member, asked if there is a list of partners the Board has worked with in the past, such as community groups, nonprofit organizations, or legislative offices, to help get the word out about this document. Ms. Underwood answered in the affirmative and stated staff will look into sending information to them as well.

Ms. Thong asked to add an opt-in option on this document for licensees to receive information from the Board, and to consider other ways to increase the number of individuals who opt in to receive email notifications from the Board.

Ms. Liou mentioned she would provide staff with a list of media contacts the Board may consider sending the Workers’ Rights information out to.

Mr. Hedges suggested adding a link on the website for applicants to click on to verify the current minimum wage, because it is constantly changing.

Mr. Jones suggested referencing the piece rate law - Assembly Bill 1513 (AB 1513). There are frequently asked question (FAQ) pages at the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) that can be helpful.

Mr. Hedges stated the state laws are more demanding than the federal laws. He suggested changing the contact number for additional information on page 5, to the State number instead of the federal number that is currently in the document.

Ms. Thong asked to separate the federal and state agencies on page 8 for clarity.

Ms. Thong asked to have the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) do a press release for this document with the intent of providing the information to ethnic media.

Mr. Jones suggested contacting reporters directly. Ms. Underwood stated staff will consult with the DCA’s Public Affairs Office.
Amy Coombe, a Committee Member, stated the Employment Development Department (EDD) and the Labor Commissioner’s Office teach free classes for employers on topics, such as independent contractors versus employees, hourly rates, piece rate, commission, minimum wage, overtime, and employment law.

Ms. Thong hoped that the Board could mimic Franchise Tax Board in that they hold 45-minute webinars followed by live question and answer sessions facilitated by subject matter experts from EDD, Franchise Tax Board and the DIR. The webinars are posted to YouTube. She suggested recording a live session with representatives from the Department of Labor, the EDD, and others as an open communication channel for licensees.

Mr. Jones stated AB 1513 noncompliance is greatly due to the lack of knowledge because the law is contrary to long-held customs and norms. He stated the need for the mandates in AB 1513 to be communicated in the most simple, accessible ways to those who have to abide by it. He suggested that the Board post AB 1513 information on the Board’s website. He suggested as a future agenda item that DIR do a presentation to the committee on areas of confusion such as what commission wages look like in the year 2017 to bring clarity and increase compliance. He asked that DIR create information that is specific to the Barbering and Beauty industry.

Mr. Hedges suggested adding a section to the Board’s website that lists the long-term benefits of paying into the system, such as disability insurance and social security. Ms. Blaschke agreed and suggested providing numerical examples to demonstrate how by contributing a certain dollar amount you reap better results.

Regarding the Know Your Workers Rights publication, Ms. Thong asked to move the second-to-the-last paragraph in the ‘In Conclusion’ section on page 9 to the beginning of the document (the materials provided in this lesson are not all inclusive). Mr. Jones suggested having it in both places. Mr. Hedges agreed.

Ms. Thong suggested using text that is more visual, such as charts and infographics that are easier to understand. It will make the information more shareable and can be put on social media.

Ms. Crossett suggested including places in the document to ‘click for further information’ to increase interest.

Leslie Roste, a Committee Member, suggested passing the document by a couple of 19- or 20-year-old licensees to ensure they understand all the terms.

Ms. Crossett suggested including the ability to click on terms for their definitions.

Tami Guess, Board Project Manager, read Committee Member, Shirley Arnett’s comments regarding the Know Your Worker’s Rights document, into the minutes as follows:

“I was able to review the publication and found it to be very informative and really touched base with very important issues and concerns in our industry. I believe being educated in these areas is the key to satisfying careers and less confusion on expectations from both the employer and employees.
I also like the clear definition of an employee vs. booth rental or independent contractor.

This can really help support many in our industry.”

Ms. Liou suggested holding a community forum on this document. Ms. Underwood stated the Board is more than willing but must first request travel approval. Mr. Hedges stated he would be willing to attend a community forum in the Bay Area.

Ms. Thong proposed incorporating a community forum or town hall on the same day and location as a regularly scheduled Board meeting, to alleviate travel cost concerns. Ms. Underwood agreed but cautioned that the Board’s role is awareness, not the interpretation of labor law.

5. Agenda Item #5, DISCUSSION REGARDING POTENTIAL HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERNS WITHIN THE INDUSTRY

Ms. Roste suggested the Board produce an industry bulletin about a licensees’ right to refuse service. She noted salon owners frequently prevent their employees from refusing service so it’s important for licensees know they have a right to refuse service if there is a concern.

Mr. Hedges stated California just passed a law mandating that deductions from employees’ salaries go into a fund that will allow employees to carry it from employer to employer.

Ms. Crossett stated the importance of presenting the Board’s outreach information to all salon owners as a way to help them so salon owners feel comfortable in coming to the Board for information. Information should be presented in a forward focused manner.

Ms. Crossett stated the concern that app based businesses (i.e. Uber-like, Lift-like apps designed to set up licensees with customers for services to be provided outside of a licensed brick and mortar establishment) are heavily recruiting graduates and new students. She expressed that the Board needs to make licensees aware that providing that type of service is engaging in unlicensed activity. She stated many licensees are unaware they are breaking the law. In addition, she suggested the Board provide clear, visible information that licensees cannot perform micro blading, micro needling and permanent makeup.

Public Comment

Ms. Jacobs stated individuals tend to want to use needling devices out of their homes. They pretend to be unlicensed and present themselves as trainers. There is also an increased interest in derma-microblading at home parties. Many instructors tell individuals they do not need to be licensed as a permanent makeup (PMU) person. Also, licensees are reporting that they were ripped off by a tattoo school because they were told they only had to be an esthetician.

Dr. Charles H. Washington, a Committee Member stated barbers are beginning to use the same gloves on multiple clients. Ms. Underwood stated if found, they will be cited for a violation.
Mr. Hedges stated one of the largest problems is the manufacturers who willfully sell products that are against the law. They know they are hurting people but consider the sales more important.

Ms. Liou stated there is confusion about foot spa liners, wipes, disinfectants, and water usage.

Ms. Roste mentioned that California law does not allow for the use of wipes or spray disinfectant on the foot spas. Since the State is concerned with water usage, she questioned why disinfectant wipes are not allowed. As a subject matter expert, wipes and spray disinfectants are effective methods in the disinfection process. She stated California law is very narrow in its approved disinfectants and methods. She also stated the concern that many individuals use bleach as a disinfectant because it is inexpensive but noted that not all bleaches are registered with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). She expressed concern that the Board’s law, as written, wastes water. She feels the Board may want to look at expanding its disinfectant definition and methods. She feels the way the Board defines disinfectant is vague. The law needs to be simplified. Educational materials need to be written in a simple manner. She mentioned there will be several changes in how disinfectants are going to be labeled in the very near future. There is a disconnect between the law and how disinfectants are labeled. For instance, the term Hospital Grade is not an EPA recognized word, yet it appears in California Board law. The term either needs to be removed from law or be defined to eliminate confusion.

Ms. Crossett stated the need to convey that how a disinfectant is mixed affects its effectiveness.

Lori Schaumleffel, a Committee Member, stated the concern that the disinfecting towelettes are not sufficiently moist to reach the proper dwell time. She stated contact time or dwell time should be included in the Board definitions. She stated “contact time” is confusing; she suggested the wording, “how long to keep it wet” as it may be easier to understand.

Mr. Hedges asked Committee Member Leslie Roste, MD, to put together a document on types of disinfectants currently available that would be effective, especially for pedicures.

Ms. Roste stated two services that are not well-addressed by the Board are safety precautions for waxing services and eyelash enhancements (not regulated) and lash extensions. She would like to see wax rollers banned from use and more available information on why it is important not to double dip a wax stick.

Regarding waxing and nail services, Ms. Crossett suggest the Board develop information on fair wage and encourage consumers to think about how they are spending their money. By paying an extremely low price point on a service, it may be considered by the consumer if whether or not they are inadvertently encouraging wage trafficking. She suggested pointing out to the consumer how the math just doesn’t add up if you pay an extremely low price point and expect owners to be in compliance with labor and wage regulations.
Mr. Jones stated the Board may be able to help by encouraging consumers to consider the implications of paying a low price point for a manicure.

Ms. Liou mentioned she has heard of complaints that the inspectors, at the time of the inspection, are not educating licensees on why the licensee is being cited. Ms. Underwood stated the Board has provided language access training to all inspectors and has provided the citations related to nail salons to the establishments in the Vietnamese language. Mr. Hedges noted that at the Disciplinary Review Committee (DRC) members encourage appellants to stay and speak with staff for explanations and answers to their questions, however, many chose not to. He noted that at DRC, the appellants also receive a copy of the photos taken by the inspectors at the time of the inspection.

6. Agenda Item #6, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WAYS TO IMPROVE THE BOARD’S RECOGNITION OF HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERNS WITHIN THE INDUSTRY

Ms. Liou mentioned the California Healthy Nail Collaborative is in the process of creating a model integrating health and safety advice on how to identify if a shop is really upholding workers’ rights and health and safety laws. She suggested collaborating with the Board and addressing the topic as an agenda item at the next meeting.

Ms. Crossett asked that schools receive a report from the Board on how their students did in each area of the NIC exam so schools can adjust their teaching methods accordingly. Ms. Underwood is working with NIC to provide this information.

Ms. Roste suggested the Board use photos taken by Board inspectors of violations as an educational outreach tool for schools. Using these real life examples will make more of an impact with students than just telling students the regulations.

Ms. Schaumleffel mentioned partnering with the California Department of Public Health, which has a website that can be used as a resource and as a way to get consumer information out.

Ms. Thong suggested having informal conversations with beauty supply stores about selling prohibited substances.

Ms. Blaschke noted it is forward thinking to start considering the environmental impact of disinfectants and chemicals used by licensees. It was felt by the members that steps should be considered now to reduce the environmental impact.

Ms. Crossett noted making sure students understand, on a practical side, why exercising proper infection control is so important. She suggested using very visual videos posted the Board’s website in order to catch the student’s attention.

Ms. Schaumleffel noted the Center for Disease Control’s (CDC) website has timely information on infection control. She mentioned that the Association of Professionals and Infection Control (APIC) produce videos for disease transmission and hand hygiene and these resources are available on their website.

7. Agenda Item #7, DISCUSSION OF FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
Ms. Underwood stated the next meeting is in October in the San Diego area. At that time, staff will report back to the committee on the consumer awareness information that has been discussed at this meeting and staff will take to the Board recommendations provided by the committee on consumer and labor issues brought forth from the committee. At the next meeting staff will provide an update to this committee on what steps have been taken thus far.

Ms. Crossett suggested a presentation on the environmental impact of disinfectants. Ms. Roste acknowledged Ms. Crossett’s concerns and acknowledged the environment is also affected by the large amount of chemicals from the industry that get put back into the environment. Mr. Hedges agreed that a presentation would be appropriate.

8. Agenda Item #8, PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON AGENDA

Ms. Jacobs asked the Board if there was a regulatory age requirement to receive a Brazilin wax service. Ms. Crossett informed her that determining the age of a client a licensee provides services to falls on the licensee’s liability.

9. Agenda Item #9, ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.